On the causal evolution of N-particle systems

Tomasz Miller

Work in progress with **Ryszard Horodecki**, **Paweł Horodecki** and **Michał Eckstein** (KCIK, UG, Poland)

Copernicus Center (Kraków), University of Gdańsk





Noncommutative Geometry: Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Space-Time and Matter, S.N. Bose 125th Birth Anniversary, Kolkata, 27 November 2018 A Lorentzian spectral triple can be (loosely) defined as $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D})$, where:

- \mathcal{A} is a (dense *-subalgebra of a) C^* -algebra with a preferred unitisation $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$,
- \mathcal{K} is a Krein space endowed with an (indefinite) inner product (.,.) together with a faithful representation of \mathcal{A} ,
- \mathcal{D} is an unbounded operator on \mathcal{K} such that $(\phi, \mathcal{D}\psi) = -(\mathcal{D}\phi, \psi)$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \operatorname{dom}\mathcal{D}$ and such that $[\mathcal{D}, a]$ extends to a bounded operator on \mathcal{K} for any $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

Example constructed upon \mathcal{M} – glob. hyp. spacetime

 $\mathcal{A} := C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \mathcal{K} := L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}), \quad \mathcal{D} := -i\gamma^{\mu} \nabla^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mu}$

A Lorentzian spectral triple can be (loosely) defined as $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D})$, where:

- \mathcal{A} is a (dense *-subalgebra of a) C^* -algebra with a preferred unitisation $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$,
- \mathcal{K} is a Krein space endowed with an (indefinite) inner product (.,.) together with a faithful representation of \mathcal{A} ,
- D is an unbounded operator on K such that (φ, Dψ) = −(Dφ, ψ) for all φ, ψ ∈ domD and such that [D, a] extends to a bounded operator on K for any a ∈ Ã.

Example constructed upon \mathcal{M} – glob. hyp. spacetime

$$\mathcal{A} := C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \mathcal{K} := L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}), \quad \mathcal{D} := -i\gamma^{\mu} \nabla^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mu}$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the cone of all Hermitian $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $(\phi, [\mathcal{D}, a]\phi) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. For any two states ξ, η on \mathcal{A} we define the causal relation $\xi \preceq \eta \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{C} \quad \xi(a) \leq \eta(a).$

In the case of $\mathcal{A}=C^\infty_c(\mathcal{M})$

- C is the set of smooth bounded causal functions.
- The space of states becomes 𝒫(𝒜) the space of Borel prob. measures on 𝒜. Pure states are the Dirac deltas δ_ρ, p ∈ 𝒜.
- For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$:

$$\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\nu$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the cone of all Hermitian $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $(\phi, [\mathcal{D}, a]\phi) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. For any two states ξ, η on \mathcal{A} we define the causal relation

$$\xi \preceq \eta \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \forall \, a \in \mathcal{C} \quad \xi(a) \leq \eta(a).$$

In the case of $\mathcal{A}=C^\infty_c(\mathcal{M})$

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{C}$ is the set of smooth bounded causal functions.
- The space of states becomes 𝒫(𝒜) the space of Borel prob. measures on 𝒜. Pure states are the Dirac deltas δ_p, p ∈ 𝒜.
- For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$:

$$\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\nu$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the cone of all Hermitian $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $(\phi, [\mathcal{D}, a]\phi) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. For any two states ξ, η on \mathcal{A} we define the causal relation

 $\xi \preceq \eta \quad \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \forall \, a \in \mathcal{C} \quad \xi(a) \leq \eta(a).$

In the case of $\mathcal{A}=C^\infty_c(\mathcal{M})$

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{C}$ is the set of smooth bounded causal functions.
- The space of states becomes $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel prob. measures on \mathcal{M} . Pure states are the Dirac deltas $\delta_p, \ p \in \mathcal{M}$.

• For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$:

$$\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\nu$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the cone of all Hermitian $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $(\phi, [\mathcal{D}, a]\phi) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. For any two states ξ, η on \mathcal{A} we define the causal relation

$$\xi \preceq \eta \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \forall \, a \in \mathcal{C} \quad \xi(a) \leq \eta(a).$$

In the case of $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty_c(\mathcal{M})$

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{C}$ is the set of smooth bounded causal functions.
- The space of states becomes $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel prob. measures on \mathcal{M} . Pure states are the Dirac deltas δ_p , $p \in \mathcal{M}$.

• For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$:

$$\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\nu$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the cone of all Hermitian $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $(\phi, [\mathcal{D}, a]\phi) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. For any two states ξ, η on \mathcal{A} we define the causal relation

$$\xi \preceq \eta \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{C} \quad \xi(a) \leq \eta(a).$$

In the case of $\mathcal{A}=C^\infty_c(\mathcal{M})$

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{C}$ is the set of smooth bounded causal functions.
- The space of states becomes $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel prob. measures on \mathcal{M} . Pure states are the Dirac deltas δ_p , $p \in \mathcal{M}$.
- For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$:

$$\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\nu$$

Motivations and goals

Causal precedence relation $\leq (J^+)$ between events

 $p \preceq q$ if \exists a piecewise smooth fut-dir causal curve from p to q (or p = q).

On the other hand: $\delta_p \preceq \delta_q \iff \forall f \in C \ f(p) \leq f(q)$ Question 1: Can one extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ without explicity employing causal functions?

Here the measures can be spread also in the timelike direction.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Causal precedence relation $\leq (J^+)$ between events

 $p \preceq q$ if \exists a piecewise smooth fut-dir causal curve from p to q (or p = q).

 $\text{On the other hand:} \qquad \delta_p \preceq \delta_q \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ \ f(p) \leq f(q)$

Question 1: Can one extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ without explicity employing causal functions?

Here the measures can be spread also in the timelike direction.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Causal precedence relation $\leq (J^+)$ between events

 $p \preceq q$ if \exists a piecewise smooth fut-dir causal curve from p to q (or p = q).

On the other hand: $\delta_p \preceq \delta_q \iff \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \ f(p) \leq f(q)$ Question 1: Can one extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ without explicitly employing causal functions?



Here the measures can be spread also in the timelike direction.

What does it mean that $\mu \leq \nu$? [M. Eckstein, TM '17]

Let $\mathcal M$ be a spacetime. Then for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr P(\mathcal M)$

$$\begin{split} \mu \preceq \nu & \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists \, \omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}^2) \text{ such that:} \\ \bullet \, \forall_{B \text{ - Borel}} \quad \omega(B \times \mathcal{M}) = \mu(B), \quad \omega(\mathcal{M} \times B) = \nu(B), \\ \bullet \, \omega(J^+) = 1, \end{split}$$

where $J^+ := \{(p,q) \in \mathcal{M}^2 \mid p \preceq q\}.$

- ullet ω can be called a causal coupling or a causal transference plan.
- For $\mu = \delta_p$, $\nu = \delta_q$, the only coupling is $\omega = \delta_{(p,q)}$ and so $\delta_p \preceq \delta_q$ iff $p \preceq q$.
- $\bullet \preceq$ is reflexive and transitive. It is antisymmetric for $\mathcal M$ past/future distinguishing.

What does it mean that $\mu \leq \nu$? [M. Eckstein, TM '17]

Let $\mathcal M$ be a spacetime. Then for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr P(\mathcal M)$

$$\begin{split} \mu \preceq \nu & \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists \, \omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}^2) \text{ such that:} \\ \bullet \, \forall_{B \text{ - Borel}} \quad \omega(B \times \mathcal{M}) = \mu(B), \quad \omega(\mathcal{M} \times B) = \nu(B), \\ \bullet \, \omega(J^+) = 1, \end{split}$$

where $J^+ := \{(p,q) \in \mathcal{M}^2 \mid p \leq q\}.$

- ullet ω can be called a causal coupling or a causal transference plan.
- For $\mu = \delta_p$, $\nu = \delta_q$, the only coupling is $\omega = \delta_{(p,q)}$ and so $\delta_p \preceq \delta_q$ iff $p \preceq q$.
- $\bullet \preceq$ is reflexive and transitive. It is antisymmetric for $\mathcal M$ past/future distinguishing.

What does it mean that $\mu \leq \nu$? [M. Eckstein, TM '17]

Let $\mathcal M$ be a spacetime. Then for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr P(\mathcal M)$

$$\begin{split} \mu \preceq \nu & \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists \, \omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}^2) \text{ such that:} \\ \bullet \, \forall_{B \text{ - Borel}} \quad \omega(B \times \mathcal{M}) = \mu(B), \quad \omega(\mathcal{M} \times B) = \nu(B), \\ \bullet \, \omega(J^+) = 1, \end{split}$$

where $J^+ := \{(p,q) \in \mathcal{M}^2 \mid p \leq q\}.$

- ω can be called a causal coupling or a causal transference plan.
- For $\mu = \delta_p$, $\nu = \delta_q$, the only coupling is $\omega = \delta_{(p,q)}$ and so $\delta_p \preceq \delta_q$ iff $p \preceq q$.
- $\bullet \preceq$ is reflexive and transitive. It is antisymmetric for $\mathcal M$ past/future distinguishing.

What does it mean that $\mu \leq \nu$? [M. Eckstein, TM '17]

Let $\mathcal M$ be a spacetime. Then for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr P(\mathcal M)$

$$\begin{split} \mu \preceq \nu & \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists \, \omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}^2) \text{ such that:} \\ \bullet \, \forall_{B \text{ - Borel}} \quad \omega(B \times \mathcal{M}) = \mu(B), \quad \omega(\mathcal{M} \times B) = \nu(B), \\ \bullet \, \omega(J^+) = 1, \end{split}$$

where $J^+ := \{(p,q) \in \mathcal{M}^2 \mid p \leq q\}.$

- ω can be called a causal coupling or a causal transference plan.
- For $\mu = \delta_p$, $\nu = \delta_q$, the only coupling is $\omega = \delta_{(p,q)}$ and so $\delta_p \preceq \delta_q$ iff $p \preceq q$.
- $\bullet \preceq$ is reflexive and transitive. It is antisymmetric for $\mathcal M$ past/future distinguishing.

Each infinitesimal part of the probability measure should travel along a future-directed causal curve.



Tomasz Miller (CC)

Causal evolution of N-particle systems

27 November 2018 6 / 1

Each infinitesimal part of the probability measure should travel along a future-directed causal curve.



Tomasz Miller (CC)

Causal evolution of N-particle systems

27 November 2018 6 / 1

For \mathcal{M} causally simple (\leq antisymmetric + topologically closed):

 $\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \text{for any compact } \mathcal{K} \subset \text{supp } \mu \quad \mu(\mathcal{K}) \leq \nu(J^+(\mathcal{K}))$



For \mathcal{M} globally hyperbolic:

 $\mu \preceq \nu \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \text{for any Cauchy hypersurface } \mathcal{D} \quad \mu(J^+(\mathcal{D})) \leq \nu(J^+(\mathcal{D}))$



Definition (M. Eckstein, TM 2017)

Let $s \in (0,1]$. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ their s^{th} Lorentz–Wasserstein distance is defined via

$$LW_s(\mu,\nu) := \begin{cases} \sup_{\substack{\omega - \text{ c. c. of } \mu \text{ and } \nu}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{M}^2} d(p,q)^s d\omega(p,q) \right]^{1/s} & \text{if } \mu \preceq \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \not \preceq \nu \end{cases}$$

This notion has already been picked by:

- R. McCann in his study of the relation between the Hawking-Penrose strong energy condition and the geodesic concavity of the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy.
- A. Mondino and S. Suhr in their optimal-transport-theoretic formulation of the Einstein equations.

Definition (M. Eckstein, TM 2017)

Let $s \in (0,1]$. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ their s^{th} Lorentz–Wasserstein distance is defined via

$$LW_s(\mu,\nu) := \begin{cases} \sup_{\omega \text{ - c.c. of } \mu \text{ and } \nu} \left[\int_{\mathcal{M}^2} d(p,q)^s d\omega(p,q) \right]^{1/s} & \text{if } \mu \preceq \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \not \preceq \nu \end{cases}$$

This notion has already been picked by:

- R. McCann in his study of the relation between the Hawking-Penrose strong energy condition and the geodesic concavity of the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy.
- A. Mondino and S. Suhr in their optimal-transport-theoretic formulation of the Einstein equations.

Causal time-evolution of a pointlike particle

A curve $\gamma: I \to \mathcal{M}$ with $\gamma(t) = (t, x(t))$ is a worldline of a physical particle if

 $\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \gamma(s) \preceq \gamma(t).$

Causal time-evolution of a probability measure

A map $\mu: I \to \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}), t \mapsto \mu_t$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subset \{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for all $t \in I$ is a causal evolution of a measure if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

Causal time-evolution of a pointlike particle

A curve $\gamma: I \to \mathcal{M}$ with $\gamma(t) = (t, x(t))$ is a worldline of a physical particle if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \gamma(s) \preceq \gamma(t).$$

Causal time-evolution of a probability measure

A map $\mu: I \to \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}), t \mapsto \mu_t$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subset \{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for all $t \in I$ is a causal evolution of a measure if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

Causal time-evolution of a pointlike particle

A curve $\gamma: I \to \mathcal{M}$ with $\gamma(t) = (t, x(t))$ is a worldline of a physical particle if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \gamma(s) \preceq \gamma(t).$$

Causal time-evolution of a probability measure

A map $\mu: I \to \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}), t \mapsto \mu_t$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subset \{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for all $t \in I$ is a causal evolution of a measure if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

Causal time-evolution of measures $(\mathcal{M} - \mathsf{glob}$. hyperbolic)

• Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} .

Causal time-evolution of a pointlike particle

A curve $\gamma: I \to \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{T}(\gamma(t)) = t$ is a worldline of a physical particle if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \gamma(s) \preceq \gamma(t).$$

Causal time-evolution of a probability measure

A map $\mu: I \to \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M}), t \mapsto \mu_t$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subset \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$ for all $t \in I$ is a causal evolution of a measure if

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

Causal time-evolution of measures $(\mathcal{M} - \text{glob. hyperbolic})$



Tomasz Miller (CC)

Causal evolution of N-particle systems

27 November 2018

10/1

Causal time-evolution of measures $(\mathcal{M} - \text{glob. hyperbolic})$



10/1

Theorem [TM '17]

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Consider a map $t \mapsto \mu_t \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subset \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. TFAE:

• The map
$$t \mapsto \mu_t$$
 is causal, i.e.
 $\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \Rightarrow \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$

• There exists a probability measure on the space of worldlines, from which one can recover μ_t for all $t \in I$.

The "space of worldlines" is suitably topologized so as to ensure **Polishness**.



Adapted from Penrose's "Road to Reality"

27 November 2018 11 / 1

Theorem [TM '17]

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Consider a map $t \mapsto \mu_t \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subset \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. TFAE:

• The map
$$t \mapsto \mu_t$$
 is causal, i.e.
 $\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \Rightarrow \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$

• There exists a probability measure on the space of worldlines, from which one can recover μ_t for all $t \in I$.

The "space of worldlines" is suitably topologized so as to ensure **Polishness**.



Adapted from Penrose's "Road to Reality"

ullet Choose a Cauchy temporal function $\mathcal{T}.$

- This fixes a Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$.
- Call $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ an "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime".

• Causal relation: $(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) \preceq (t, y_1, \dots, y_N) \Leftrightarrow \forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i).$

• Extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N)$ employing the notion of a coupling.

Causal time-evolution of an N-particle probability measure

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \; \Rightarrow \; \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

- ullet Choose a Cauchy temporal function ${\cal T}.$
- This fixes a Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$.
- Call $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ an "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime".
- Causal relation: $(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) \preceq (t, y_1, \dots, y_N) \Leftrightarrow \forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i).$
- Extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N)$ employing the notion of a coupling.

Causal time-evolution of an N-particle probability measure

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \; \Rightarrow \; \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

- ullet Choose a Cauchy temporal function ${\mathcal T}.$
- This fixes a Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$.
- Call $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N$ an "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime".
- Causal relation: $(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) \preceq (t, y_1, \dots, y_N) \Leftrightarrow \forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i).$
- Extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N)$ employing the notion of a coupling.

Causal time-evolution of an N-particle probability measure

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \; \Rightarrow \; \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

- ullet Choose a Cauchy temporal function $\mathcal{T}.$
- This fixes a Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$.
- Call $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ an "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime".
- Causal relation: $(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) \preceq (t, y_1, \dots, y_N) \iff \forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i).$
- Extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N)$ employing the notion of a coupling.

Causal time-evolution of an N-particle probability measure

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \; \Rightarrow \; \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

- \bullet Choose a Cauchy temporal function ${\cal T}.$
- This fixes a Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$.
- Call $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ an "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime".
- Causal relation: $(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) \preceq (t, y_1, \dots, y_N) \iff \forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i).$
- Extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N)$ employing the notion of a coupling.

Causal time-evolution of an N-particle probability measure

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \; \Rightarrow \; \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

- ullet Choose a Cauchy temporal function ${\mathcal T}.$
- This fixes a Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$.
- Call $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ an "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime".
- Causal relation: (s, x₁,..., x_N) ≤ (t, y₁,..., y_N) ⇔ ∀i (s, x_i) ≤ (t, y_i).
 Extend ≤ ante @(ℝ × S^N) amplexing the nation of a coupled
- Extend \preceq onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^N)$ employing the notion of a coupling.

Causal time-evolution of an N-particle probability measure

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \; \Rightarrow \; \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

Fix $s, t \in I$. TFAE:

- $\mu_s \preceq \mu_t$
- For any compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{supp } \mu_s \quad \mu_s(\mathcal{K}) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\mathcal{K}))$
- For any Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^N$ $\mu_s(J^+(\Sigma)) \le \mu_t(J^+(\Sigma))$
- For any time function f, $\int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^N} f d\mu_s \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^N} f d\mu_t$

where f being time means that $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times S^N)$ and the condition that $\forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i)$ implies that $f(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) < f(t, y_1, \dots, y_N)$.

- Fix $s, t \in I$. TFAE:
 - $\mu_s \preceq \mu_t$
 - For any compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \mu_s \quad \mu_s(\mathcal{K}) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\mathcal{K}))$
 - For any Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^N$ $\mu_s(J^+(\Sigma)) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\Sigma))$
 - For any time function f, $\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_s\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_t$

where f being time means that $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times S^N)$ and the condition that $\forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i)$ implies that $f(s, x_1, \ldots, x_N) < f(t, y_1, \ldots, y_N)$.

- Fix $s, t \in I$. TFAE:
 - $\mu_s \preceq \mu_t$
 - For any compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{supp } \mu_s \quad \mu_s(\mathcal{K}) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\mathcal{K}))$
 - For any Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^N$ $\mu_s(J^+(\Sigma)) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\Sigma))$
 - For any time function f, $\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_s\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_t$

where f being time means that $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times S^N)$ and the condition that $\forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i)$ implies that $f(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) < f(t, y_1, \dots, y_N)$.

- Fix $s, t \in I$. TFAE:
 - $\mu_s \preceq \mu_t$
 - For any compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{supp } \mu_s \quad \mu_s(\mathcal{K}) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\mathcal{K}))$
 - For any Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^N$ $\mu_s(J^+(\Sigma)) \le \mu_t(J^+(\Sigma))$
 - For any time function $f,\;\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_s\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_t$

where f being time means that $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times S^N)$ and the condition that $\forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i)$ implies that $f(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) < f(t, y_1, \dots, y_N)$.

- Fix $s, t \in I$. TFAE:
 - $\mu_s \preceq \mu_t$
 - For any compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{supp } \mu_s \quad \mu_s(\mathcal{K}) \leq \mu_t(J^+(\mathcal{K}))$
 - For any Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^N$ $\mu_s(J^+(\Sigma)) \le \mu_t(J^+(\Sigma))$
 - For any time function $f,\;\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_s\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}^N}fd\mu_t$

where f being time means that $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times S^N)$ and the condition that $\forall i \ (s, x_i) \preceq (t, y_i)$ implies that $f(s, x_1, \dots, x_N) < f(t, y_1, \dots, y_N)$.

• The causal relation J^+ can be naturally extended onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ – the space of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{M} .

- One can use thus extended relations to describe the **causal evolution** of **probability measures** in glob. hyperbolic spacetimes.
 - Time-evolution of a **pointlike** particle +--+ single worldline.
 - Time-evolution of a nonlocal object +--- prob. measure on the space of worldlines.
- One can generalize the above formalism to an N-particle setting, constructing the "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime" $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ upon the Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times S$.
- The causality theory for the Lorentzian spectral triples can greatly enrich our understanding even in the commutative case!

- The causal relation J^+ can be naturally extended onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{M} .
- One can use thus extended relations to describe the causal evolution of probability measures in glob. hyperbolic spacetimes.
 - Time-evolution of a **pointlike** particle +--+ single worldline.
 - Time-evolution of a **nonlocal** object $\leftrightarrow \Rightarrow$ **prob. measure** on the space of worldlines.
- One can generalize the above formalism to an N-particle setting, constructing the "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime" $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ upon the Geroch–Bernal–Sànchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times S$.
- The causality theory for the Lorentzian spectral triples can greatly enrich our understanding even in the commutative case!

- The causal relation J^+ can be naturally extended onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{M} .
- One can use thus extended relations to describe the causal evolution of probability measures in glob. hyperbolic spacetimes.
 - Time-evolution of a **pointlike** particle *\dots ingle* worldline.
 - Time-evolution of a **nonlocal** object $\leftrightarrow \Rightarrow$ **prob. measure** on the space of worldlines.
- One can generalize the above formalism to an N-particle setting, constructing the "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime" ℝ × S^N upon the Geroch-Bernal-Sànchez splitting M ≅ ℝ × S.
- The causality theory for the Lorentzian spectral triples can greatly enrich our understanding even in the commutative case!

14/1

- The causal relation J^+ can be naturally extended onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{M} .
- One can use thus extended relations to describe the causal evolution of probability measures in glob. hyperbolic spacetimes.
 - Time-evolution of a **pointlike** particle *\delta is single* worldline.
 - Time-evolution of a **nonlocal** object *\delta \delta \delta prob.* **measure** on the space of worldlines.
- One can generalize the above formalism to an N-particle setting, constructing the "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime" ℝ × S^N upon the Geroch-Bernal-Sanchez splitting M ≅ ℝ × S.
- The causality theory for the Lorentzian spectral triples can greatly enrich our understanding even in the commutative case!

14/1

- The causal relation J^+ can be naturally extended onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{M} .
- One can use thus extended relations to describe the causal evolution of probability measures in glob. hyperbolic spacetimes.
 - Time-evolution of a **pointlike** particle *\delta is single* worldline.
 - Time-evolution of a **nonlocal** object *\delta reprob.* **measure** on the space of worldlines.
- One can generalize the above formalism to an N-particle setting, constructing the "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime" $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ upon the Geroch-Bernal-Sanchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times S$.
- The causality theory for the Lorentzian spectral triples can greatly enrich our understanding even in the commutative case!

- The causal relation J^+ can be naturally extended onto $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the space of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{M} .
- One can use thus extended relations to describe the causal evolution of probability measures in glob. hyperbolic spacetimes.
 - Time-evolution of a **pointlike** particle *\delta is single* worldline.
 - Time-evolution of a **nonlocal** object *\delta reprob.* **measure** on the space of worldlines.
- One can generalize the above formalism to an N-particle setting, constructing the "N-particle glob. hyp. spacetime" $\mathbb{R} \times S^N$ upon the Geroch-Bernal-Sanchez splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R} \times S$.
- The causality theory for the Lorentzian spectral triples can greatly enrich our understanding even in the commutative case!

Thank you for your attention!

- N. Franco and M. Eckstein, *An algebraic formulation of causality for noncommutative geometry*, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** 135007, (2013).
- M. Eckstein and T. Miller, Causality for nonlocal phenomena, Ann. Henri Poincaré 18(9), 3049–3096, (2017).
- T. Miller, *Polish spaces of causal curves*, J. Geom. Phys. **116**, 295–315, (2017),
- M. Eckstein and T. Miller, *Causal evolution of wave packets*, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 032106, (2017)
- M. Eckstein, N. Franco and T. Miller, *Noncommutative geometry of Zitterbewegung*, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 061701, (2017)

Theorem [M. Eckstein, TM '17]

Suppose $\rho(t, x)$ satisfies the continuity equation $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{v} = 0$ with a velocity field such that $\|\mathbf{v}(t, x)\| \leq 1$. Then μ_t defined via

$$d\mu_t = \delta_t \otimes \rho(t, x) \, d^3 x$$

evolves causally.

More generally, suppose μ_t satisfies:

$$\forall \Phi \in C_c^{\infty}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \int_I \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\right) \Phi \, d\mu_t dt = 0$$

with **v** as above. Then μ_t evolves causally.

Theorem [M. Eckstein, TM '17]

Suppose $\rho(t, x)$ satisfies the continuity equation $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{v} = 0$ with a velocity field such that $\|\mathbf{v}(t, x)\| \leq 1$. Then μ_t defined via

$$d\mu_t = \delta_t \otimes \rho(t, x) \, d^3 x$$

evolves causally.

More generally, suppose μ_t satisfies:

$$\forall \Phi \in C_c^{\infty}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \int_I \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\right) \Phi \, d\mu_t dt = 0$$

with **v** as above. Then μ_t evolves causally.

Conjecture

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Suppose μ_t (such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$) satisfies:

$$\forall \Phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(I)) \quad \int_I \int_{\mathcal{M}} X \Phi \, d\mu_t dt = 0$$

with a certain causal vector field X. Then μ_t evolves causally.

Converse result (preliminary!)

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Suppose μ_t evolves causally. Then there exists a **causal** vector field X such that (\star) holds.

X is generally rather low-regular. Namely, $L^2(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(I),\int_I \mu_t dt)$ -regular.

(*)

Conjecture

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Suppose μ_t (such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$) satisfies:

$$\forall \Phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(I)) \quad \int_I \int_{\mathcal{M}} X \Phi \, d\mu_t dt = 0$$

with a certain causal vector field X. Then μ_t evolves causally.

Converse result (preliminary!)

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Suppose μ_t evolves causally. Then there exists a causal vector field X such that (\star) holds.

X is generally rather low-regular. Namely, $L^2(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(I),\int_I \mu_t dt)$ -regular.

 (\star)

Conjecture

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Suppose μ_t (such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$) satisfies:

$$\forall \Phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(I)) \quad \int_I \int_{\mathcal{M}} X \Phi \, d\mu_t dt = 0$$

with a certain causal vector field X. Then μ_t evolves causally.

Converse result (preliminary!)

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Suppose μ_t evolves causally. Then there exists a causal vector field X such that (\star) holds.

X is generally rather low-regular. Namely, $L^2(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(I),\int_I \mu_t dt)$ -regular.

 (\star)

- Q: How to topologize sets of (fut-dir) causal curves?
 A (naïve): Induce topology from C(I, M) (the compact-open top.)
- Too large a space! Various parameterizations of an unparameterized curve treated as distinct elements!
- Two ways out:
 - Take a quotient modulo (continuous strictly increasing) reparameterizations \Leftrightarrow focus on unparameterized curves, and use the C^0 -topology.
 - Choose the "canonical" parameterization of each curve e.g. the arc-length parameterization and use the **compact-open topology**.

Spaces of causal curves parameterized "in accordance with \mathcal{T} "

 \mathcal{M} - stably causal spacetime, \mathcal{T} - time function, I - interval. $C^I_{\mathcal{T}}$:= the space of all fut-dir causal curves $\gamma \in C(I, \mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\exists c_{\gamma} > 0 \ \forall s, t \in I \quad \mathcal{T}(\gamma(t)) - \mathcal{T}(\gamma(s)) = c_{\gamma}(t-s),$$

endowed with the compact-open topology induced from $C(I, \mathcal{M})$.

- $C_{\mathcal{T}}^{I}$ is separable and completely metrizable (i.e. Polish).
- $\mathscr{C} :=$ the space of all *compact* unparameterized causal curves with the C^0 -topology. Theorem: $C^{[a,b]}_{\mathcal{T}} \cong \mathscr{C}$ and hence:
 - *C* is Polish!

•
$$C_{\mathcal{T}_1}^{[a,b]} \cong C_{\mathcal{T}_2}^{[c,d]}$$
.

• \mathcal{M} - glob. hyperbolic, $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ - Cauchy temporal functions. Theorem: $C_{\mathcal{T}_1}^{\mathbb{R}} \cong C_{\mathcal{T}_2}^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem [TM '17]

Fix a Cauchy temporal function \mathcal{T} . Consider a map $t \mapsto \mu_t \in \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp} \mu_t \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{-1}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. TFAE:

• The map $t \mapsto \mu_t$ is causal, i.e.

$$\forall s, t \in I \quad s \leq t \implies \mu_s \preceq \mu_t.$$

• $\exists \, \sigma \in \mathscr{P}(C^I_{\mathcal{T}})$ such that

$$(\mathsf{ev}_t)_{\#}\sigma = \mu_t,$$

where
$$\operatorname{ev}_t: C^I_\mathcal{T} o \mathcal{M}$$
, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(t)$.

t = 3

Tomasz Miller (CC)