

Footprint of spatial noncommutativity in resonant detectors of gravitational wave

Sukanta Bhattacharyya

Department of Physics,
West Bengal State University, Barasat, Kolkata 700126, India

Conference on Current Developments in Quantum Field Theory and Gravity , S.N. Bose
National Centre for Basic Sciences

December 6, 2018

Plan of the talk

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Brief Methodology
- 3 Transition probabilities for different types of GW
 - periodic linearly polarized GW
- 4 Conclusion

Introduction

- Gravitational waves are 'ripples' in the fabric of space-time.
- GW detectors primarily consists of ground-based (LIGO, VIRGO, GEO, TAMA etc.) and space-based (LISA) interferometers.
- The study of resonant-bar detectors is fundamental because it focuses on how GW interacts with elastic matter.
- The present day gravitational wave (GW) detectors strive to detect the length variation $\ell \sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-21}$ meter.

- The uncertainty between spatial coordinates can be realized by imposing the Noncommutativity between the spatial coordinates.

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = i\theta_{ij} = i\theta \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}, \quad [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0; \quad i, j = 1, 2$$

θ is the NC parameter and ε_{ij} is an antisymmetric tensor.

- It has been estimated from the error bars in the measurement of various physical quantities that the above spatial noncommutative parameter can have an upperbound $\theta \sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-20}$ meter.
- A good possibility of detecting the NC structure of space would be in the GW detection experiments as it may as well pick up the NC signature.

- Interaction of GW with elastic matter causes vibrations.
- The vibrations are nothing but quantum mechanical forced harmonic oscillators (HO).
- Thus the response of a resonant detector to GW can be quantum mechanically described as GW-HO interaction.
- **With this motivation, we have studied the interaction of GW(s) with simple matter systems in a NCQM framework.**

Brief Methodology

- The Lagrangian of the system

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{x}_j)^2 - m\Gamma^j_{0k}\dot{x}_j x^k - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(x_j)^2$$

- The Hamiltonian therefore reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_j + m\Gamma^j_{0k} x^k \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x_j^2 .$$

- The gravitational wave is taken care of by $\Gamma^j_{0k} = \frac{\dot{h}_{jk}}{2}$
- The linearly polarized GW can be expressed as

$$h_{jk}(t) = 2f \left(\varepsilon_{\times} \sigma_{jk}^1 + \varepsilon_{+} \sigma_{jk}^3 \right)$$

- where $2f$ is the amplitude of the GW and $(\varepsilon_{\times}, \varepsilon_{+})$ are the two possible polarization states of the GW satisfying the condition $\varepsilon_{\times}^2 + \varepsilon_{+}^2 = 1$ for all t .

- NCQM: Classical Hamiltonian \rightarrow canonical variables change to NC Heisenberg operators.
- This NC space algebra \rightarrow Standard Heisenberg algebra spanned by the operators \hat{X}_i of the ordinary QM through the transformation equations $\hat{x}_i = \hat{X}_i - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \theta \varepsilon_{ij} \hat{P}_j$.
- With this map, the Hamiltonian in terms of the commutative variables is

$$\hat{H} = \left(\frac{P_j^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 X_j^2 \right) + \Gamma_{0k}^j X_j P_k - \frac{m \omega^2}{2\hbar} \theta \varepsilon_{jm} X^j P_m - \frac{\theta}{2\hbar} \varepsilon_{jm} P_m P_k \Gamma_{0k}^j + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^2).$$

- We now define raising and lowering operators in terms of the oscillator frequency ω

$$X_j = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a_j + a_j^\dagger); \quad P_j = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2i}} (a_j - a_j^\dagger).$$

- The Hamiltonian in terms of raising and lowering operator can be recast as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \hbar\omega (a_j^\dagger a_j + 1) - \frac{i\hbar}{4} \dot{h}_{jk}(t) (a_j a_k - a_j^\dagger a_k^\dagger) \\ &\quad + \frac{m\omega\theta}{8} \varepsilon_{jm} \dot{h}_{jk}(t) (a_m a_k - a_m a_k^\dagger + C.C.) - \frac{i}{2} m\omega^2 \theta \varepsilon_{jk} a_j^\dagger a_k \\ &\equiv \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

- Our aim in this section is to calculate the perturbed states, their corresponding energy levels and transition probabilities among them. For this we first break the interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t)$ as follows

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t) = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2(t) .$$

- The time independent perturbation is

$$\hat{H}_1 = -i\Lambda_\theta \hbar \varepsilon_{jk} a_j^\dagger a_k .$$

- Notice that this is a purely NC term with no effect of GW in it and it also introduces a characteristic frequency of noncommutativity

$$\Lambda_\theta = \frac{m\varpi^2\theta}{2\hbar}$$

- The spatial NC structure removes the degeneracy of the second excited state of the 2-dimensional HO.
- Perturbed eigenstates are

$$\psi_2^{(0)} = (|2,0\rangle + |0,2\rangle)$$

$$\psi_2^{(1)} = (|2,0\rangle - |0,2\rangle + i\sqrt{2}|1,1\rangle)$$

$$\psi_2^{(2)} = (|2,0\rangle - |0,2\rangle - i\sqrt{2}|1,1\rangle)$$

with the corresponding energy eigenvalues

$$E_2^{(0)} = 3\hbar\omega, \quad E_2^{(1)} = 3\hbar\omega\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda\right)$$

$$E_2^{(2)} = 3\hbar\omega\left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\Lambda\right).$$

where

$$\Lambda = \frac{m\omega\theta}{2\hbar}$$

- The time dependent perturbations are given by

$$\hat{H}_2(t) = -\frac{i\hbar}{4} \dot{h}_{jk}(t) (a_j a_k - a_j^\dagger a_k^\dagger) + \frac{\Lambda}{4} \hbar \epsilon_{jlm} \dot{h}_{jk}(t) (a_m a_k - a_m a_k^\dagger + C.C.)$$

- Therefore the first possible transition survives between the ground state $|0,0\rangle$ and the perturbed second excited states, given by

$$C_{0 \rightarrow 2^{(0)}} = 0$$

$$C_{0 \rightarrow 2^{(1)}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{2i\varpi(1+\Lambda)t} \hbar [iA(\Lambda) \dot{h}_{11}(t) - B(\Lambda) \dot{h}_{12}(t)] .$$

$$C_{0 \rightarrow 2^{(2)}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{2i\varpi(1-\Lambda)t} \hbar [iC(\Lambda) \dot{h}_{11}(t) - D(\Lambda) \dot{h}_{12}(t)] .$$

- where

$$A(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \Lambda), \quad B(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\Lambda + 1 \right),$$
$$C(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \Lambda), \quad D(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\Lambda - 1 \right).$$

- Transition probabilities

$$P_{0 \rightarrow 2} = |C_{0 \rightarrow 2}|^2 .$$

Transition probabilities for different types of GW

- First we consider the simple scenario of periodic GW with linear polarization. This can be written as

$$h_{jk}(t) = 2f_0 \cos \Omega t (\varepsilon_{\times} \sigma_{jk}^1 + \varepsilon_{+} \sigma_{jk}^3).$$

The amplitude varies sinusoidally with a single frequency Ω .

- The transition rates then take the form

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} P_{0 \rightarrow 2(1)} = (\pi f_0 \Omega)^2 [A(\Lambda)^2 \varepsilon_{+}^2 + B(\Lambda)^2 \varepsilon_{\times}^2] \times \delta(2\bar{\omega}_{+} - \Omega)$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} P_{0 \rightarrow 2(2)} = (\pi f_0 \Omega)^2 [C(\Lambda)^2 \varepsilon_{+}^2 + D(\Lambda)^2 \varepsilon_{\times}^2] \times \delta(2\bar{\omega}_{-} - \Omega).$$

$$\bar{\omega}_{+} = \bar{\omega} + \Lambda_{\theta} = \bar{\omega}(1 + \Lambda), \quad \bar{\omega}_{-} = \bar{\omega} - \Lambda_{\theta} = \bar{\omega}(1 - \Lambda).$$

- From the above results we can make following observations:

- 1 The transition rates will be peaked around the frequencies $\Omega = 2\omega_+$ and $\Omega = 2\omega_-$. Thus we should get two resonant points if the space has an underlying NC geometry.
- 2 The transition probability $P_{0 \rightarrow 2(1)}$ is larger than $P_{0 \rightarrow 2(2)}$.
- 3 Both linear and quadratic terms in the dimensionless NC parameter Λ will appear in the transition probabilities.
- 4 Both the $+$ and the \times polarizations includes the effects of the NC structure of space.

Reference

- 1 Michele Maggiore, *Gravitational Wave, Vol I, Theory and Experiments* Oxford University Press, 2008.
- 2 AS, SG, PLB 681 96, 2009
- 3 AS, SG, SS, PRD 83 025004, 2011
- 4 SG, AS, MPLA 35 1250192, 2012
- 5 SG, AS, SS, MPLA 35 1350161, 2013
- 6 greenSG, AS, SS, GRG 47 6, 65 (2015)
- 7 SG, AS, SS, GRG 47 3, 28 (2015)
- 8 AS, SG, CQG 33 205006 (2016)
- 9 SG, AS, SS, PRD 97 044015 (2018).

Acknowledgment

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Sunanadan Gangopadhyay and Dr. Anirban Saha for proper guidance, the Government of West Bengal for financial support.

○

THANK YOU