### Self accelerating coherent states\*

Vivek M. Vyas Theoretical Physics Group Raman Research Institute

December 6, 2018

\*Based on Am. J. Phys. 86 (2018) 750

#### Point particle dynamics

 <u>Classical</u>: Accelerated particle motion necessarily occurs in presence of a potential gradient

$$m\ddot{x} = -\frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x}$$

Same also seems to hold in the quantum case:

$$m\langle \ddot{x} \rangle = -\langle \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x} \rangle$$

for some state  $|\psi(t)\rangle$ , which evolves as per the Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{H}|\psi(t)
angle=i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(t)
angle$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- <u>Stationary states</u>  $|\psi_E(t)\rangle$ , for which  $|\psi_E(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}}|\psi_E(0)\rangle$
- These seems to defy the logic: NO motion at all

$$|\psi_E(t)|^2 = |\psi_E(0)|^2$$

Q. Is the converse true ?

Can one have accelerated motion in *absence* of a potential ?

The answer is emphatic YES !

▶ Berry and Balazs (1979) showed that the wavefunction ψ(x, t) of a nonrelativistic free particle system, which evolves as per the Schrödinger equation:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}=i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t},$$

admits a solution having an Airy function profile:

$$\psi(x,t=0) = \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{Bx}{\hbar^{2/3}}\right),$$

It uniformly accelerates without spreading:

$$|\psi(x,t)|^2 = \operatorname{Ai}^2\left(rac{B}{\hbar^{2/3}}\left(x-rac{B^3t^2}{4m^2}
ight)
ight)$$



- NOT in conflict with the Ehrenfest theorem, these are like scattering states
- $\blacktriangleright$  Not square-integrable, giving rise to ill-defined averages  $\langle x \rangle$  and  $\langle x^2 \rangle$
- ►  $|\psi(x,t)|^2$  does not represent probability density of a particle

- Assumed to be pathological, mathematical curiosity, and were largely ignored
- Only a couple of studies from different viewpoints
- Greenberger (1980) showed that these are e<sup>i(kx-wt)</sup> solutions BUT viewed by a uniformly accelerating observer
- Rau/Unnikrishnan (1996) showed that these are <u>unique</u> no other solution exists having these properties
- Were realised in optical experiments by Siviloglou et. al. in 2007
- Since then have been extensively studied in experiments
- Prof. R. P. Singh in PRL-Ahmedabad have done some interesting work with these beams

- This remind one of SHO coherent (wavepacket) states  $\phi(x, t)$
- Evolve in time in a manner so as to preserve its shape:

$$|\phi(x,t)|^2 = |\phi(x-f(t),0)|^2$$

- f(t) solves the classical equation of motion for the harmonic oscillator
- The Airy wavepackets also evolve in time similar to these coherent states, preserving their shape:

$$|\psi(x,t)|^2 = |\psi(x-g(t),0)|^2$$

where  $g(t) = \frac{B^3 t^2}{4m^2}$ 

- One naturally wonders: Airy wavepackets have any connection with the harmonic oscillator coherent states ?
- ► YES, they are actually generalised coherent states

### SHO Coherent states

Heisenberg-Weyl algebra:

$$\hat{a} = \sqrt{rac{m\omega}{2\hbar}}\hat{x} + irac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}}\hat{p},$$
  
 $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = \hat{l}$ 

► Coherent state is obtained from ground state |0⟩:

$$egin{aligned} \hat{a}|0
angle &= 0, \ |lpha
angle &= \exp\left(lpha \hat{a}^{\dagger} - rac{|lpha|^2}{2}\hat{I}
ight)|0
angle \end{aligned}$$

Temporal stability: coherent state is stable under time evolution, which only changes the value of α:

$$e^{-i\frac{\hat{H}t}{\hbar}}|\alpha\rangle = |\alpha e^{-i\omega t}\rangle$$

#### Generalised coherent states

- Many generalisations exist for these coherent states in different quantum systems
- We are interested in Perelomov construction
- ► If operators  $\hat{X}$ ,  $\hat{Y}$  and  $\hat{Z}$  form a closed (Lie) algebra, then the <u>Perelomov coherent state</u>  $|a, b\rangle$ :

$$\hat{X}|x
angle = x|x
angle ~~( ext{fiducial state}) \ |a,b
angle = \exp\left(a\hat{Y}+b\hat{Z}
ight)|x
angle$$

- We shall work with free particle Schrödinger equation  $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}$
- It has <u>Galilean invariance</u>: two inertial observers observe same physics
- Transformation from one frame to the other is via a unitary transformation:

$$\hat{U}(t) = e^{irac{
u}{\hbar}\hat{K}(t)}$$
  
 $\hat{K}(t) = t\hat{
ho} - m\hat{x}$  (Galilean boost generator)

• The set of operators  $\{\hat{l}, \hat{x}, \hat{p}, \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2}\}$  with the commutation relations:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar \hat{l}, \quad [\hat{x}, \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2}] = i\hbar \hat{p}, \quad [\hat{p}, \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2}] = 0,$$

constitute a closed algebra

This algebra is a generalisation of harmonic oscillator algebra of {â, â<sup>†</sup>, Î}, which is expressed using the operators {Î, x̂, p̂}

► Consider |Φ⟩ = |x = 0⟩ as the fiducial state, and construct coherent state:

$$|\xi;t
angle = \exp\left(-rac{it}{2\hbar m}\hat{p}^2 + rac{i}{\hbar}rac{\xi}{m}\hat{p}
ight)|\Phi
angle$$

Interestingly one finds that these states are eigenstates of K(t):

$$\hat{K}(t)|\xi;t
angle=\xi|\xi;t
angle$$

In x-representation:

$$\psi_{\xi}(x,t) = \langle x|\xi;t \rangle = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\frac{mx^2}{2t} - \frac{\xi x}{t}\right)\right)$$

・ロト・西ト・モー・ モー シュル

The set of operators { Î, x̂, p̂, p²/2, p³/6}, with non-trivial commutation relations:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar \hat{l}, \quad [\hat{x}, \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2}] = i\hbar \hat{p}, \quad [\hat{x}, \frac{\hat{p}^3}{6}] = i\hbar \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2},$$

form a closed algebra

• A coherent state  $|\varepsilon, \xi; t\rangle$ , from the fiducial state  $|\Phi\rangle = |x = 0\rangle$ , using the operators  $\{\hat{p}, \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2}, \frac{\hat{p}^3}{6}\}$ :

$$|\varepsilon,\xi;t\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{i\varepsilon}{6\hbar m^2}\hat{p}^3 - \frac{it}{2\hbar m}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{i\xi}{\hbar m}\hat{p}\right)|\Phi\rangle,$$

for any real  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$ 

• Interestingly it turns out that this state is an eigenstate of  $\hat{K}(t) + \varepsilon \hat{H}$ :

$$\left(\hat{K}(t)+arepsilon\hat{H}
ight)ertarepsilon,\xi;t
angle=\xiertarepsilon,\xi;t
angle$$

- This property gives them a unique behaviour
- At t = 0 the action of time evolution operator gives:

$$e^{-rac{i\pi}{\hbar}\hat{H}}|arepsilon,\xi;0
angle = \left(e^{-rac{i\pi\xi}{\hbararepsilon}}e^{-rac{im au^3}{3\hbararepsilon^3}}
ight)e^{rac{i\pi}{\hbararepsilon}\hat{K}(0)}e^{rac{i\pi^2}{2\hbararepsilon}\hat{
ho}}|arepsilon,\xi;0
angle$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ▶ The effect of time evolution operator gives rise to a constant acceleration  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$
- In x-representation this is apparent:

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x,\tau) = e^{-\frac{i\tau\xi}{\hbar\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\frac{m\tau^3}{3\varepsilon^2} + \frac{mx\tau}{\varepsilon})} \psi_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x + \frac{\tau^2}{2\varepsilon}, 0)$$

• The time evolution is shape preserving:  $|\psi_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x,\tau)|^2 = |\psi_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x+\frac{\tau^2}{2\varepsilon},0)|^2$ 

The explicit form of ψ<sub>ε,ξ</sub>(x, t):

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar m}} \left(\frac{2\hbar m^2}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{(\xi - mx)t}{\varepsilon} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{mt^3}{3\varepsilon^2}\right)$$
$$\times \operatorname{Ai}\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(\frac{2\hbar m^2}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(x - \frac{\xi}{m} + \frac{t^2}{2\varepsilon}\right)\right)$$

- BUT this is exactly the Airy wavepacket state discovered by Berry/Balazs !
- Airy wavepackets are <u>Perelomov coherent states</u>
- Clarifies the origin of 'acceleration' (without force) and shape-preservation
- The peak of the probability density of these coherent states does not traverse a classical trajectory
- BUT rather traverses along a caustic of classical trajectories (Berry/Balazs)

- The state of zero acceleration can be obtained by taking the limit ε → ∞ with a fixed finite value of ξ
- This limit yields:

$$e^{-rac{i au}{\hbar}\hat{H}}ertarepsilon
ightarrow\infty,\xi;0
angle=ertarepsilon
ightarrow\infty,\xi;0
angle$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Shows that the state  $|\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty, \xi; \tau \rangle$  is zero energy state  $|p=0\rangle$
- The state  $|\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \xi; 0\rangle$  goes over to become the eigenstate of  $\hat{K}$
- The accelerating coherent states |ε, ξ; τ⟩ interpolate smoothly and continuously from the ground state |p = 0⟩ to K̂ eigenstate |ε = 0, ξ; τ⟩

# Summary

- The accelerating Airy solutions of free Schrödinger equation are Perelomov coherent states
- Origin of acceleration and shape preservation is an outcome of their definition

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Unique to free Schrödinger equation owing to Galilean invariance